



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,626	03/24/2004	Simone Arrigo	19414-08962	7482
758 FENWICK & V	7590 01/24/2008	EXAMINER .		
FENWICK & WEST LLP SILICON VALLEY CENTER			SHENG, TOM V	
801 CALIFOR	NIA STREET VIEW, CA 94041		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WOOTTIET	112.11, 0.117.10.11		2629	
·				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/809,626	ARRIGO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tom V. Sheng	2629			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address			
Period for Reply	/ IO OFT TO EVOIDE A	MONTH (O) OR THIRTY (20) DAYS			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC , cause the application to become A	ICATION.  The reply be timely filed  ENTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  SEANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 O	<u>ctober 2007</u> .				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-22,24 and 27-51</u> is/are pending in t	he application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22,24 and 27-51</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	- alastian requirement	Communication of the Communica			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action of form P10-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul><li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li><li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li></ul>	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority document					
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prio</li></ol>		n received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	ot received.			
		·			
Attachment(s)	_				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	4) 🔲 Interview Paper No	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-946)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date		f Informal Patent Application			

10/809,626 Art Unit: 2629

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-22 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-21 of U.S. Patent No. 6,781,570.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the application are obvious over the claims of the patent.

Detail of comparison between claim 1 of the application and the patent is as follow.

Claim 1 of the application	Patent 6,781,570

10/809,626 Art Unit: 2629

A photo-sensitive element configured to receive reflected light from a light source to produce a first image data associated with a first image and a second image data associated with a second image.

The optical sensor for characterizing movement relative to the wireless device with a number of images (claim 1).

The optical sensor includes at least an LED for providing light that is reflected off a surface or object, the reflected light being projected onto a photo-sensitive element included in the optical sensor (claim 7).

Claimed photo-sensitive element corresponds to the photo-sensitive element of the optical sensor, of the patent.

Claimed reflected light from a light source corresponds to the light reflected off a surface or object and originates from an LED, of the patent.

There is no direct correspondence
between claimed first and second image
data and the characterizing movement
with a number of images, of the patent.

Application/Control Number: 10/809.626

Art Unit: 2629

An image data processing logic coupled to the photo-sensitive element for receiving the image data and configured to detect activity based on the image data and to qualify detected activity as false activity based on statistical analysis of past activity.

A processing unit ... for receiving ... movement data (claim 1).

The optical sensor ... for providing movement data that is derived from the images to the processing unit (claim 1).

The processing performed by the processing unit includes qualifying the movement data so as to determine if the associated movement is true user activity ... of false user activity ... (claim 3).

The movement data is qualified based on statistics characterizing the user's usage patterns associated with the wireless input device (claim 5).

Claimed image data processing logic coupled to the photo-sensitive element correspond to the processing unit with the optical sensor, of the patent, and teaches providing movement data derived from the images.

Claimed qualifying of activity corresponds

10/809,626 Art Unit: 2629

to the qualifying of movement data as to true or false user activity, of the patent.

Claimed qualifying of activity based on statistics of past activity corresponds to the qualifying of movement data based on the user's usage pattern. [The usage pattern is based on past movements and thus past activity.]

A power control logic operatively coupled to the image data processing logic and configured to implement a native power control mode wherein an internal algorithm changes the power consumption of the optical sensing assembly from a full power mode to one or more lower power modes based on the image data.

Wherein the native mode of the optical sensor includes at least three power consumption levels self-controlled by the optical sensor (claim 14).

Wherein ... the optical sensor further comprises computing logic that controls the transition between the power consumption levels (claim 15).

Claimed power control logic corresponds to the computing logic of the patent.

Claimed native power control mode corresponds to the native mode of the optical sensor, of the patent.

10/809,626 Art Unit: 2629

	Claimed internal algorithm is inherent with
,	the computing logic of the patent.
·	Claimed changes between power modes
	correspond to the transition between the
	power consumption levels, of the patent.

As analyzed above, there is no direct correspondence between claimed first and second image data and the characterizing movement with a number of images, of the patent. On the other hand, one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that in order to characterize movement with a number of images, it would obviously involve first converting the images to image data first in order to facilitate the characterization of movement. Therefore, it would have been obvious to provide conversion of received images to image data, in order to facilitate subsequent characterization of movement.

Claims 2-22 are similarly rejected over claims 1-21 of the patent.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's amendment necessitates a new ground of double patenting rejection incorporating the limitation of claim 5 of the US Patent 6,781,570.

#### Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

10/809,626

Art Unit: 2629

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tom V. Sheng whose telephone number is (571) 272-7684. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am - 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amr Awad can be reached on (571) 272-7764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/809,626 Art Unit: 2629

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tom Sheng

AMR A. AWAD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER